

The Nuclear Taboo

Why have nuclear weapons not been used since Hiroshima and Nagasaki in 1945? Nina Tannenwald disputes the conventional answer of "deterrence," in favor of what she calls a nuclear taboo a widespread inhibition on using nuclear weapons - which has arisen in global politics. Drawing on newly released archival sources, Tannenwald traces the rise of the nuclear taboo, the forces that produced it, and its influence, particularly on US leaders. She analyzes four critical instances where US leaders considered using nuclear weapons (Japan 1945, the Korean War, the Vietnam War, and the Gulf War 1991) and examines how the nuclear taboo has repeatedly dissuaded US and other world leaders from resorting to these "ultimate weapons." Through a systematic analysis, Tannenwald challenges conventional conceptions of deterrence and offers a compelling argument on the moral bases of nuclear restraint as well as an important insight into how nuclear war can be avoided in the future.

NINA TANNENWALD is Associate Research Professor of International Relations at the Watson Institute for International Studies at Brown University.



CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS: 87

The Nuclear Taboo

Editorial Board

STEVE SMITH (Managing editor)

THOMAS BIERSTEKER PHIL CERNY MICHAEL COX
A. J. R. GROOM RICHARD HIGGOTT KIMBERLEY
HUTCHINGS

CAROLINE KENNEDY-PIPE STEVE LAMY MICHAEL MASTANDUNO

LOUIS PAULY NGAIRE WOODS

Cambridge Studies in International Relations is a joint initiative of Cambridge University Press and the British International Studies Association (BISA). The series will include a wide range of material, from undergraduate textbooks and surveys to research-based monographs and collaborative volumes. The aim of the series is to publish the best new scholarship in International Studies from Europe, North America and the rest of the world.



CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

104 Benjamin Miller

States, nations and the great powers

The sources of regional war and peace

103 Beate Jahn (ed.)

Classical theory in international relations

102 Andrew Linklater and Hidemi Suganami

The English School of international relations

A contemporary reassessment

101 Colin Wight

Agents, structures and international relations Politics as ontology

100 Michael C. Williams

The realist tradition and the limits of international relations

99 Ivan Arreguín-Toft

How the weak win wars

A theory of asymmetric conflict

98 Michael Barnett and Raymond Duvall

Power in global governance

97 Yale H. Ferguson and Richard W. Mansbach

Remapping global politics

History's revenge and future shock

96 Christian Reus-Smit

The politics of international law

95 Barry Buzan

From international to world society?

English school theory and the social structure of globalisation

94 K. J. Holsti

Taming the sovereigns

Institutional change in international politics

93 Bruce Cronin

Institutions for the common good

International protection regimes in international security

Series list continued after index



The Nuclear Taboo

The United States and the Non-Use of Nuclear Weapons Since 1945

Nina Tannenwald





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521524285

© Nina Tannenwald 2007

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2007

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-81886-5 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-52428-5 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

| | Preface | page ix |
|----|---|---------|
| | List of abbreviations | xiii |
| 1 | Introduction: the tradition of nuclear non-use | 1 |
| 2 | Explaining non-use | 29 |
| 3 | Hiroshima and the origins of the nuclear taboo | 73 |
| 4 | The Korean War: the emerging taboo | 115 |
| 5 | The rise of the nuclear taboo, 1953–1960 | 155 |
| 6 | Nuclear weapons and the Vietnam War | 190 |
| 7 | Institutionalizing the taboo, 1960–1989 | 241 |
| 8 | The 1991 Gulf War | 294 |
| 9 | The taboo in the post-Cold War world | 327 |
| 10 | Conclusion: the prospects for the nuclear taboo | 361 |
| | Select bibliography | 397 |
| | Index | 431 |

vii



Preface

This book had its origins in what seemed to me a disconnect between how ordinary people, including many policymakers, have viewed nuclear weapons and how academic deterrence theory has approached the analysis of such weapons. In college I had been involved in the nuclear freeze movement, aimed at halting the US–Soviet nuclear arms race. Most people in this movement shared a deep sense of revulsion at the tremendous destructive power of nuclear weapons, and held their use to be immoral and irrational.

Later, in graduate school, I studied academic deterrence theory. It focused on the cold, hard calculus of making credible threats of massive destruction. These game-theoretic analyses, I found, had little to say about issues of revulsion and morality. Further, when I studied how we managed to avoid nuclear war during the Cold War, it increasingly appeared to me that deterrence was not the whole story. Deterrence could not explain, for example, why nuclear weapons had not been used when the other side did not possess such weapons. I found it hard to avoid a sense that a powerful revulsion associated with nuclear weapons had played a role in inhibiting their use.

If indeed a nuclear taboo exists, how do we know, and how exactly did this taboo emerge? How has it influenced leaders? I decided to find out. This book is the result.

Although this book is primarily a work of historical analysis, I hope that, in shedding light on how we survived sixty years without using the bomb, it provides some insights for the future. Nuclear weapons no longer pose the threat of immediate annihilation of the planet that they once did. Yet, the risk of their use remains. Use of even a single bomb would be catastrophic. In a world where deterrence is less relevant, power is more asymmetric, and nuclear weapons are



Preface

increasingly spread around the globe, the nurturing of normative inhibitions on use may be crucial to surviving the next sixty years.

In its long process of development, this book has accumulated many debts. My greatest debt goes to Peter Katzenstein, who, in one of my moments of crisis, reminded me that we do not slay dragons single-handedly. His intellectual guidance and unwavering support gave me the confidence to pursue this study. He provides a model of scholarship and mentorship to which I can only aspire. Richard Ned Lebow's cogent critiques of deterrence theory inspired my own thinking on the subject. Lawrence Scheinman's deep knowledge of the nuclear non-proliferation regime and international law helped me to refine my interpretations in this area. Henry Shue's insights strengthened my understanding of the role of ethical norms in international affairs. I could not have asked for a better group of advisors.

I am also especially grateful to Scott Sagan and Lynn Eden, who offered crucial encouragement early on and challenged me to sharpen my arguments. They and their colleagues at Stanford's Center for International Security and Arms Control provided, more than once, a wonderful environment for doing so. Halfway around the world from Stanford, Harald Muller made possible my research on arms control at the Frankfurt Peace Research Institute. His foundational work on security regimes has inspired and deeply influenced my thinking. A fellowship at the Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs at Harvard, made possible by Joseph Nye, immersed me in a stimulating intellectual environment and plunged me more deeply into US nuclear policy. At the University of Colorado at Boulder I benefited from some wonderful colleagues and the opportunity to try out my ideas on students. In recent years, my intellectual home has been at the Watson Institute for International Studies at Brown. I am grateful to Thomas Biersteker for creating such a vibrant intellectual community there.

Many additional individuals have provided important feedback. William Burr read numerous chapters closely and saved me from egregious historical errors. He brought his prodigious knowledge of archival sources to bear and was extraordinarily generous with both his time and his documents. Daniel Ellsberg, Raymond Garthoff, James Blight, and Peter Hayes provided valuable comments on the Vietnam chapter. Alexander Wendt, David Dessler, Hein Goemans, and Mark Suchman provided extensive comments on the theoretical chapters. Scott Sagan read an early version of the entire manuscript.



Preface

Others who provided valuable comments at various points along the way include Emanuel Adler, Stephen Brooks, Elizabeth Cousens, Matthew Evangelista, Abbott (Tom) Gleason, David Holloway, Terry Hopmann, Mark Lichbach, John Meyer, Richard Price, Randy Rydell, Duncan Snidal, Lawrence Wittner, Dean Wilkening, and William Wohlforth. Any errors of fact or interpretation remain mine, of course.

Numerous knowledgeable archivists at the National Archives made research there a pleasure. David Haight, archivist at the Eisenhower Library, and John Wilson, archivist at the Johnson Library, were indispensable in digging up ever-blacked-out nuclear weapons documents and assisting with declassification requests. I thank George Bunn for sharing with me his extensive personal files on no first use. William Arkin, many years ago, when he was still at Greenpeace, let me make use of his extensive clippings files on the 1991 Gulf War. Peter Hayes of the Nautilus Institute generously shared newly released documents on Vietnam.

For able research assistance I am grateful to Jeffrey Dillon, Cathryn Cluver, Stephan Sonnenberg, Emily Patton, Emily Kanstroom, and Joseph Nagle.

The writing of this book was supported by fellowships from the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, the Institute for the Study of World Politics, the Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs at the Kennedy School of Government at Harvard, the Center for International Security and Cooperation at Stanford, the Frankfurt Peace Research Institute, and the Watson Institute for International Studies at Brown.

I would not have made it through this project without the companionship, counsel, and encouragement of numerous friends along the way: Jeremy Adelman, Doug Blum, Chuck Call, Cathleen Fisher, Bobby Herman, Paul Kowert, Barbara Little, Anne Nolin, Steve Rauch, Andrew Tauber, Jeremy Telman, and Alex Wendt. Sarah Mendelson and Wendy Schiller knew when to drag me out for a drink and when to make sure I stayed in my seat.

My parents were wonderfully supportive throughout the process, although they began to worry that a nuclear bomb would get used before I finished the book. My stepfather, Kenneth Ford, an award-winning science writer and my favorite copyeditor, sharpened my prose in numerous chapters. My mother, Joanne Ford, remained ever encouraging. This book is dedicated to my parents – all three of them (my father, Peter Tannenwald, in memoriam).

χi



Preface

Finally, I thank my husband and fellow traveler, Mark Suchman, who undoubtedly has heard more than he ever expected about the nuclear taboo. He has been a constant source of support, tough critiques, good humor, two-by-two typologies, and, in the last three years, child care. Not only would this book not have been completed without him, it would not have been nearly as much fun, either.



ABM

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-81886-5 - The Nuclear Taboo: The United States and the Non-Use of Nuclear Weapons Since 1945 Nina Tannenwald Frontmatter More information

Abbreviations

anti-ballistic missile

| ACDA | Arms Control and Disarmament Agency |
|-------------|---|
| AEC | US Atomic Energy Commission |
| DDEL | Dwight D. Eisenhower Library, Abilene, KS |
| DDRS | Declassifed Documents Reference System |
| ENDC | Eighteen Nation Disarmament Committee |
| FRUS | Foreign Relations of the United States |
| GA | United Nations General Assembly |
| GPO | Government Printing Office |
| JCS | Joint Chiefs of Staff |
| JFDP | John Foster Dulles Papers |
| JFKL | John F. Kennedy Library, Boston, MA |
| LBJL | Lyndon B. Johnson Library, Austin, TX |
| NA | National Archives, Washington, DC |
| NSA | National Security Archive, Washington, DC |
| NSC | National Security Council |
| NSF | National Security Files |
| NPMP | Nixon Presidential Materials Project, College Park, MD |
| NPT | Nuclear Non-proliferation Treaty |
| PALs | permissive action links |
| PNE | peaceful nuclear explosion |
| PNW | Preventing Nuclear War (treaty) |
| PP | Pentagon Papers, Senator Gravel edition (Boston: Beacon |
| | Press, 1971–72). |
| SALT | Strategic Arms Limitation Talks |
| SGML | Seeley G. Mudd Library, Princeton, NJ |
| VN | Vietnam |
| | |

xiii