

Why Democracies Develop and Decline

The Varieties of Democracy project (V-Dem) pioneered new ways to conceptualize and measure democracy, producing a multidimensional and disaggregated data set on democracy around the world that is now widely used by researchers, activists, and governments. *Why Democracies Develop and Decline* draws on this data to present a comprehensive overview and rigorous empirical tests of the factors that contribute to democratization and democratic decline, looking at economic, social, institutional, geographic, and international factors. It is the most authoritative and encompassing empirical analysis of the causes of democratization and reversals. The volume also proposes a comprehensive theoretical framework and presents an up-to-date description of global democratic developments from the French Revolution to the present. Each chapter leverages the specialized expertise of its authors, yet their sustained collaboration lends the book an unusually unified approach and a coherent theory and narrative.

Michael Coppedge is Professor of Political Science at the University of Notre Dame. He is a principal investigator of the Varieties of Democracy project, the author of *Democratization and Research Methods* (2012), and co-author of *Varieties of Democracy: Measuring Two Centuries of Political Change* (2020).

Amanda B. Edgell is Assistant Professor of Political Science at the University of Alabama. She works on the politics of regime transformation, authoritarianism, political inclusion, and African politics. Her work has appeared in several peer-reviewed journals, including the *British Journal of Political Science*, *European Journal of Political Research*, *Democratization*, and *African Studies Review*.

Carl Henrik Knutsen is Professor of Political Science at the University of Oslo and leads the Comparative Institutions and Regimes (CIR) research group. He is also Research Professor at PRIO, and a principal investigator of Varieties of Democracy and several research projects, including an ERC Consolidator Grant on autocratic politics, and co-author of *Varieties of Democracy: Measuring Two Centuries of Political Change* (2020).

Cambridge University Press & Assessment

978-1-316-51441-2 — Why Democracies Develop and Decline

Edited by Michael Coppedge , Amanda B. Edgell , Carl Henrik Knutsen , Staffan I. Lindberg

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

Staffan I. Lindberg is Professor of Political Science and Director of the V-Dem Institute at the University of Gothenburg, Sweden. He is a principal Investigator of the Varieties of Democracy project as well as several other projects, a Wallenberg Academy Fellow, author of *Democracy and Elections in Africa* (2006), and co-author of *Varieties of Democracy: Measuring Two Centuries of Political Change* (2020).

Why Democracies Develop and Decline

Edited by

MICHAEL COPPEDGE

University of Notre Dame

AMANDA B. EDGELL

University of Alabama

CARL HENRIK KNUTSEN

University of Oslo

STAFFAN I. LINDBERG

V-Dem Institute, University of Gothenburg



Cambridge University Press & Assessment

978-1-316-51441-2 — Why Democracies Develop and Decline

Edited by Michael Coppedge, Amanda B. Edgell, Carl Henrik Knutsen, Staffan I. Lindberg

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781316514412

DOI: 10.1017/9781009086974

© Cambridge University Press 2022

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-316-51441-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>page</i> ix
<i>List of Tables</i>	xii
<i>List of Tables in Appendices</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xv
1 V-Dem Reconsiders Democratization	1
<i>Michael Coppedge, Amanda B. Edgell, Carl Henrik Knutsen, and Staffan I. Lindberg</i>	
1.1 Motivations for This Volume	4
1.2 Setting the Stage	7
1.3 Improving Data	9
1.4 Varieties of Democracy Data	11
1.5 Methodological Considerations	15
1.6 Democracy as Outcome: Four Dependent Variables	17
1.7 Overview	23
2 The Ups and Downs of Democracy, 1789–2018	29
<i>Carl Henrik Knutsen and Svend-Erik Skaaning</i>	
2.1 Introduction	29
2.2 V-Dem Data	32
2.3 Trends in Electoral Democracy	34
2.4 Trends in Subcomponents of Electoral Democracy	43
2.5 Trends in Thicker Aspects of Democracy	48
2.6 Conclusion	53
3 Long-Run Factors	55
<i>John Gerring</i>	
3.1 Geography	56
3.1.1 Climate	56
3.1.2 Irrigation	57

3.1.3	Agriculture	57
3.1.4	Mountains	59
3.1.5	Islands	59
3.1.6	Natural Harbors	60
3.1.7	Tests	60
3.2	Islam	61
3.3	Europeans	66
3.3.1	Colonialism	68
3.3.2	Religion	68
3.3.3	Language	69
3.3.4	Demography	70
3.3.5	Tests	71
3.4	Population	71
3.4.1	Tests	73
3.5	Diversity	74
3.5.1	Tests	76
3.6	Conclusions	78
4	International Influence: The Hidden Dimension	80
	<i>Michael Coppedge, Benjamin Denison, Paul Friesen, Lucía Tiscornia, and Yang Xu</i>	
4.1	Rationale	82
4.2	Theoretical Framework	83
4.3	Methodological Considerations	89
4.4	Our Hypotheses	92
4.4.1	Exogenous Shocks	92
4.4.2	Endogenous Influences	93
4.5	Operationalizing the Hypotheses	94
4.5.1	The Dependent Variable and the Sample	94
4.5.2	Exogenous Shocks	94
4.5.3	Endogenous Hypotheses	95
4.5.4	Controls	97
4.6	Estimation	99
4.7	Results	101
4.8	Interpretation	105
4.8.1	LRSS Estimates	106
4.8.2	Dynamic Trends	113
4.8.3	Map of Frontline Contagion Zones	115
4.9	Conclusions	117
5	Economic Determinants	119
	<i>Carl Henrik Knutsen and Sirianne Dahlum</i>	
5.1	Introduction	119
5.2	Extant Research on Economic Determinants of Democracy	122
5.2.1	Economic Development	122

<i>Contents</i>	vii
5.2.2 Structural Features of the Economy	133
5.2.3 Short-Term Economic Changes	139
5.2.4 Inequality	146
5.3 Comprehensive Analysis and Discussion	153
5.4 Conclusion	158
6 Political Institutions and Democracy	161
<i>Allen Hicken, Samuel Baltz, and Fabricio Vasselai</i>	
6.1 Institutions and Democracy	163
6.1.1 State Capacity	164
6.1.2 Executive Regime Type	165
6.1.3 Parties and Party Systems	168
6.2 Data and Methods	170
6.2.1 Dependent Variable	170
6.2.2 Independent Variables	170
6.3 Results and Analysis	172
6.3.1 Survival Analysis	172
6.3.2 Pooled Time Series Results	181
6.4 Conclusion	183
7 Democracy and Social Forces	185
<i>Michael Bernhard and Amanda B. Edgell</i>	
7.1 Introduction	185
7.2 Social Forces, Incorporation, and Democracy	186
7.3 Civil Society and Democracy	188
7.3.1 Contentious Politics as a Catalyst	188
7.3.2 Civil Society and Organized Interests	189
7.4 Theoretical Expectations	190
7.5 Research Design	192
7.5.1 Measuring Civil Society Organizational Capacity	193
7.5.2 Measuring Civil Society Mobilization	196
7.5.3 Measuring Democracy	197
7.5.4 Control Variables	198
7.5.5 Estimation Strategy	199
7.6 Results	200
7.6.1 Civil Society Organizational Capacity	200
7.6.2 Civil Society Anti-System Mobilization Using V-Dem	203
7.6.3 Civil Society Mobilization Using NAVCO	207
7.7 Discussion and Conclusions	213
8 Causal Sequences in Long-Term Democratic Development and Decline	215
<i>Michael Coppedge, Amanda B. Edgell, Carl Henrik Knutsen, and Staffan I. Lindberg</i>	
8.1 Overview of Arguments	219
8.2 Empirical Models of Sequences	227

8.3 Modeling Levels of Electoral Democracy	232
8.4 Modeling Upturns in Electoral Democracy	241
8.5 Modeling Downturns in Electoral Democracy	248
8.6 Caveats	257
8.7 A Revised Understanding of Democratization	258
<i>Appendices</i>	262
<i>Appendix 3 Variable Definitions</i>	262
<i>Appendix 4.A Modeling Spatial Dependence</i>	265
<i>Appendix 4.B Other Networks</i>	268
<i>Appendix 4.C Estimation</i>	270
<i>Appendix 4.D Descriptive Information</i>	272
<i>Appendix 6.A Details on Variables and Analysis</i>	275
<i>Appendix 6.B Cox Hazard Models</i>	278
<i>Appendix 7 A Details of Measurement and Analysis</i>	281
<i>Appendix 7 B Supplemental Tables and Figures</i>	293
<i>Appendix 8 A Structural Equations</i>	325
<i>Appendix 8 B Variable Descriptions</i>	328
<i>Appendix 8 C Additional Path Models</i>	331
<i>Bibliography</i>	347

Figures

2.1	Polyarchy scores for Brazil (a), France (b), and the USA (c)	<i>page</i> 33
2.2	Global trend in Polyarchy from 1789 to 2018 for all independent countries	35
2.3	Mean global democracy scores, 1789–2018	38
2.4	Global heat maps of electoral democracy: 1789 (a), 1899 (b), and 2018 (c)	40
2.5	Mean global upturns and downturns of all independent states, 1789–2018	42
2.6	How countries' Polyarchy index scores have changed across different time intervals	42
2.7	Mean global score of Polyarchy index subcomponents for all independent states	44
2.8	Spearman's rho rank-order correlation coefficients between free and fair elections, on the one hand, and suffrage (a), freedom of expression (b), or elected officials (c) on the other, by decade.	47
2.9	(a) Global averages for the different high-level components of democracy for all independent countries with relevant V-Dem data. (b) Standard deviations for the different high-level components of democracy for all independent countries with relevant V-Dem data	50
2.10	Spearman's rank-order correlation coefficient between Polyarchy index and the Liberal Component by year (first year of decade reported).	52
4.1	The full STAR model	100
4.2	Long-run steady-state impact of contagion on mean polyarchy by network	109
4.3	Long-run steady-state impact of contagion on mean upturns by network	110

4.4	Long-run steady-state impact of contagion on mean downturns by network	111
4.5	Long-run steady-state impact of contagion on regional polyarchy means	112
4.6	Simulated effects of neighbors on electoral democracy in selected countries	114
4.7	Front lines of polyarchy-level contagion in 2009–2010	116
6.1	The effect on the probability of a step-down	176
6.2	The effect on the probability of a step-up	177
6.3	The effect on the probability of a step-down	178
6.4	The effect on the probability of a step-up	180
7.1	Level of procedural democracy and civil society stock. Predicted margins and 95 percent confidence intervals based on results from Model 1	201
7.2	Annual changes in procedural democracy and civil society stock. Predicted margins and 95 percent confidence intervals based on results from Model 2–4	202
7.3	Estimated effect of anti-system movement strength moderated by levels of electoral democracy ($t - 1$). Predicted effect and 95 percent confidence intervals for a one-unit change in anti-system movement strength on annual changes, upturns, and downturns in electoral democracy given the level of democracy in the year prior ($t - 1$). Based on results from Table 7.B8 (Models 1–3)	204
7.4	Immediate and long-run relationship between violent and nonviolent resistance and electoral democracy. Predicted margins and 95 percent confidence intervals based on results from Table 7.B15 (Models 1–3)	210
8.1	A rough criterion for causal proximity	222
8.2	Path diagram of causes of Polyarchy levels	239
8.3	Path diagram of causes of upturns in Polyarchy	242
8.4	Path diagram of determinants of downturns in polyarchy	249
6.A.1	Variable histograms	277
7.A.1	Pairwise autocorrelation coefficients for democracy and civil society participatory environment with up to 100 years lag	282
7.A.2	Depreciation of a stagnant civil society stock (100) over thirty years, based on level of government repression. Values for None also show estimates using the baseline 10 % rate	287
7.A.3	Global trends in civil society stock, 1900–2016. Values based on average of all independent countries observed during that year. Dashed lines show the uncertainty boundaries calculated from the *codelow and *codehigh values	288

<i>List of Figures</i>	xi
7.A.4 Trends in civil society stock for selected cases. Dashed lines show the uncertainty boundaries calculated from the *codelow and *codehigh values	290
7.A.5 Distribution of entry points for main sample of 156 countries from 1900 to 2014 ($N = 10,205$). Darker narrow bars represent discrete time units. Lighter wider bars represent bins of ten-year increments. Dashed line is a normal distribution curve	291
7.A.6 Trends in civil society stock for cases entering the sample with less than thirty years of prior stock accumulation. Dashed lines show the uncertainty boundaries calculated from the *codelow and *codehigh values	292
7.B.1 As an alternative to Figure 7.3, this graph shows the predicted annual changes, upturns, and downturns for electoral democracy with 95% confidence intervals as levels of anti-system movement strength increase when lagged levels of electoral democracy are at the twenty-fifth and seventy-fifth percentiles	301
7.B.2 Left-wing anti-system movements and changes to electoral democracy, moderated by existing levels of democracy. Plots include the predicted differences in the marginal effects and 95% confidence intervals on annual changes to democracy for a one-unit change in left-wing anti-system movements (0–1) as lagged levels of electoral democracy increase. Results are based on estimates in Table 7.B11	307
8.C.1 Path model of upturns using worker–small farmer campaigns	331
8.C.2 Path model of upturns using middle-sector campaigns	332
8.C.3 Path model of upturns using anti-system and left- and right-wing movements	333

Tables

1.1	Model specification by chapter	<i>page</i> 18
3.1	Geographic factors	62
3.2	Islam	67
3.3	Europeans	72
3.4	Population	75
3.5	Diversity	77
3.6	A parsimonious model	79
4.1	Comparison of models with and without network relationships	102
4.2	STAR estimates for a model of Polyarchy levels with six regional neighbor networks	105
5.1	Indicators of democracy and economic development	127
5.2	Benchmark regressions including indicators of economic development	132
5.3	Indicators of structural features of the economy	137
5.4	Benchmark regressions including indicators of structural features of the economy	138
5.5	Indicators of short-term economic changes	143
5.6	Benchmark regressions including indicators of short-term economic changes	144
5.7	Indicators of economic inequality	150
5.8	Benchmark regressions including indicators of economic inequality	151
5.9	Extensive regression specifications combining statistically significant covariates (with long time series) from parsimonious regressions	155
6.1	Empirical evaluations of executive regime type	167
6.2	Cox Hazard models of step-down	175
6.3	Pooled time series	182

<i>List of Tables</i>	xiii
7.1 Summary of social forces indicators used in the main analyses	194
7.2 Summary statistics for outcome variables	199
7.3 Civil society organizational capacity and procedural democracy	200
7.4 Strength of anti-system movements and electoral democracy	203
7.5 Anti-system movement character and electoral democracy	206
7.6 Violent and nonviolent resistance campaigns	208
7.7 Resistance campaigns by class-based coalitions and subsequent electoral democracy	211
8.1 Summary of findings	225
8.2 Intermediate and distal determinants of levels of Polyarchy assuming exogeneity	229
8.3 Path models of levels of Polyarchy	234
8.4 Path model of upturns in Polyarchy using violent and nonviolent campaigns	243
8.5 Path model of downturns	250
 TABLES IN APPENDICES	
4.A.1 Comparison of multiplex and separate estimates of ρ	267
4.D.1 Descriptive information	273
6.A.1 List of variables	275
6.B.1 Cox hazard models of democratic step-down	278
6.B.2 Cox hazard models of democratic step-down	279
6.B.3 Cox hazard models of democratic step-down	280
7.A.1 Countries recoded based on occupying power	284
7.A.2 Cases entering sample with less than thirty years of prior observations	291
7.B.1 Repression-based depreciation of civil society organizational capacity and procedural democracy	293
7.B.2 Civil society organizational capacity and procedural democracy using the Schumpeter index	294
7.B.3 Civil society organizational capacity and procedural democracy, linear-log specification	295
7.B.4 Civil society organizational capacity and procedural democracy, quadratic specification	296
7.B.5 Civil society organizational capacity and procedural democracy, country-years with at least thirty years prior stock accumulation	297
7.B.6 Civil society participation and procedural democracy in the short run	298
7.B.7 Strength of anti-system movements and procedural democracy	299
7.B.8 Anti-system strength and democracy, moderated by lagged electoral and procedural democracy	300
7.B.9 Anti-system movement character and procedural democracy	302

7.B.10	Anti-system movement character and changes in democracy, moderated by anti-system movement strength	303
7.B.11	Anti-system movement character and democracy, moderated by lagged democracy	305
7.B.12	Anti-system movement character, civil society organizational capacity, and procedural democracy	308
7.B.13	Ethnic- and religious-based anti-system movements and changes in democracy	310
7.B.14	Violent and nonviolent resistance campaigns and procedural democracy	312
7.B.15	Immediate and long-run relationship between resistance campaigns and democracy	313
7.B.16	Resistance campaigns by class-based coalitions and subsequent procedural democracy	315
7.B.17	Ongoing class-based resistance campaigns and changes in democracy	317
7.B.18	Ongoing resistance campaigns by class-based coalitions and electoral democracy	319
7.B.19	Ongoing resistance campaigns by class-based coalitions and procedural democracy	321
7.B.20	Resistance events in the CNTS data and changes in democracy	323
8.B.1	Variable descriptions	329
8.C.1	Path model of upturns using worker–small farmer campaigns	334
8.C.2	Path model of upturns using middle-sector campaigns	337
8.C.3	Path model of upturns using anti-system and left- and right-wing movements	342

Acknowledgements

“Bernard of Chartres used to compare us to dwarfs perched on the shoulders of giants. He pointed out that we see more and farther than our predecessors, not because we have keener vision or greater height, but because we are lifted up and borne aloft on their gigantic stature”¹

From the very inception of the Varieties of Democracy-project (V-Dem) through now over decade, Bernard of Chartres’ point has been true for everything we managed to accomplish. This volume is no exception. While the volume has editors and each chapter has named authors, the intellectual content contained therewithin is not only ours. We are the fortunate ones in the development of the social sciences, bestowed with so many insights and advancements made by those who came before us, and, more specifically, by the many who worked with us in V-Dem. We are grateful if readers appreciate how preciously little we as individual scholars contribute and recognize that the advancements of knowledge found in this volume really are realizations of being “perched on the shoulders of giants”.

We will undoubtedly fail in the effort to acknowledge all whom we are indebted to. Quite beyond the innumerable individuals and institutions making V-Dem possible and thrive, even a more limited effort to recognize those who were invaluable in the process leading to this volume will ultimately fall short. We ask all to know that we express our sincerest gratitude to undergraduate and graduate students, postdocs, visiting and affiliate scholars, colleagues, as well as institutions and practitioners in the policy community,

¹ This is believed to be the first formulation of “standing on the shoulders of giants” and is found in John of Salisbury. 1159. *Metalogicon*. folio 217 recto (f 217r), Cambridge, Corpus Christi College, MS 046, Book III, Chapter 4, original translated to English by MacGarry, Daniel Doyle, ed. (1955). *The Metalogicon of John Salisbury: A Twelfth-century Defense of the Verbal and Logical Arts of the Trivium*. Berkeley: University of California Press. p. 167. Bernard of Chartres was a twelfth century philosopher, scholar, and administrator.

who at some point invited us to share our preliminary ideas or were involved in activities contributing to the ideas shared in this volume.

The volume is a principal outcome of the six-year “Varieties of Democracy Research Program” funded by Riksbankens Jubileumsfond (Grant M13-0559:1, PI: Staffan I. Lindberg) from 2014 to 2019. As editors of this volume, we would like to express our gratitude for this generous contribution. The program’s main objective was to build and test a comprehensive theory of democratization integrating endogenous as well as exogenous explanatory factors. Naturally, we cannot claim to have fully achieved that somewhat unrealistic goal. We are nonetheless very proud of the advances the V-Dem team has made over these years with some 120 scientific working papers, of which more than 60 are now published as peer-reviewed articles, and the first book, *Varieties of Democracy: Measuring Two Centuries of Political Change*, published by Cambridge University Press in 2020. This volume is the culmination, for now, of our efforts as members of the V-Dem team, to produce a comprehensive account of why democracies develop and decline.

The editors and all co-authors thank funders who made it possible for us to hold three book workshops, and for all the terrific comments and suggestions provided by commentators during these workshops, which have been indispensable for us to reach this final outcome. A first book workshop was held in October 2017 in Rome to plan and discuss initial drafts of this volume. Funders for that workshop include the V-Dem Institute; the Helen Kellogg Institute for International Studies; the Nanovic Institute for European Studies; and Notre Dame International, which manages the University of Notre Dame’s Rome Global Gateway. Therese Hanlon ably handled travel and other logistics for this workshop. A second book workshop was organized by Michael Bernhard at University of Florida in February 2019, sponsored by the University of Florida Foundation. A third and final workshop was organized by the V-Dem Institute and held at Vann Hotel outside of Gothenburg in conjunction with the V-Dem annual conference in May 2019, funded by the large grant from Riksbankens Jubileumsfond mentioned above.

In addition to organizers and participants at these workshops, several others deserve to be mentioned for their contributions to individual chapters of this book:

As authors of Chapter 2, Carl Henrik Knutsen and Svend-Erik Skaaning would like to thank Lasse Aaskovsen, Larry Diamond, Johannes Lindvall, the participants at the, the Historical V-Dem Workshop at the University of Oslo (2018), the APSA Annual Meeting in Boston (2018), and the Annual Meeting of the Danish Political Science Association at Hotel Vejlelfjord (2019) as well as the other contributors to this volume for excellent comments and suggestions. They would especially like to thank Tore Wig, both for excellent comments and for creating Figure 2.4.

As author of Chapter 3, John Gerring wishes to acknowledge that the chapter builds on work conducted in collaboration with Brendan Apfeld, Tore Wig, and Andreas Tollefsen.

Acknowledgements

xvii

As authors of Chapter 4, Michael Coppedge, Benjamin Denison, Paul Friesen, Lucía Tiscornia, and Yang Xu feel deeply indebted to Robert Franzese for his ICPSR workshop on “Spatial Econometrics,” including permission to adapt a post-estimation Stata do-file he wrote with Jude Hays and Scott Cook; and to Nick Ottone, Jack Stump, and Jacob Turner for research assistance. The authors are also grateful to the Kellogg Institute’s Research Cluster on Democratization Theory for many discussions. As always, Notre Dame’s Center for Research Computing provided high-performance computing, without which Chapter 4 would not have been possible.

As authors of Chapter 5, Carl Henrik Knutsen and Sirianne Dahlum would like to thank Vanessa Boese as well as the other contributors to this volume for excellent comments and suggestions. They would especially like to thank Laura Maxwell for help with identifying and gathering data for many of the indicators used in this chapter.

As authors of Chapter 6, Allen Hicken, Samuel Baltz, and Fabricio Vasselai acknowledge excellent feedback on previous iterations of the text and analyses at book workshops.

As authors of Chapter 7, Michael Bernhard and Amanda Edgell are grateful for the feedback provided by participants in seminars at the University of California at Irvine, the State University of New York in Binghamton, and Gothenburg University. The authors are also grateful to Rachel Sigman for comments on our presentation of the Social Forces chapter at an internal V-Dem meeting. Michael Bernhard’s work was supported by the University of Florida Foundation.

The three editors who are also co-authors of Chapter 8 (Amanda Edgell, Carl Henrik Knutsen, and Staffan I. Lindberg) wish to recognize Michael Coppedge as the principal author of this chapter. Michael’s insistence that it could be done despite our explicit doubts is the main reason that we have this chapter, which includes what we consider to be unique contributions in piecing together and assessing different links in the complex network of factors influencing democratic development and decline.

Michael Coppedge thanks the Kellogg Institute for its multiyear support of the Democratization Theory Research Cluster and its other principal investigators J. Samuel Valenzuela, Dianne Pinderhughes, Aníbal Pérez-Liñán, and Gary Goertz for serving as a sounding board for many of the ideas in several chapters. Coppedge also thanks the College of Arts and Letters at Notre Dame for supporting his research leave in 2020–2021, and Political Science Department Chair Dave Campbell for being an enthusiastic and effective advocate. Great thanks are due also to the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions at Vanderbilt University, which provided Coppedge with a visiting appointment in spring 2021 despite social distancing; and to CSDI and Political Science colleagues and staff at Vanderbilt, especially Joshua Clinton, Shannon Meldon-Corney, John Sides, Noam Lupu, Kristin Michelitch, Elizabeth Zechmeister, Keith Weghorst, David Lewis, and Guillermo Toral. Coppedge

expresses personal thanks to his wife, Lynn McDonald, for graciously tolerating his absence from home that semester.

Amanda B. Edgell is indebted to colleagues at the University of Florida, the V-Dem Institute, the University of Gothenburg, and the University of Alabama for countless hours of conversation over the contents of this volume. In particular, she would like to thank three graduate students - Myles Williamson, Scott Corso, and Kim Jacks for their feedback - and Michael Bernhard for always treating his research assistants as colleagues and co-authors.

Carl Henrik Knutsen also wishes to express a large thank you to several colleagues, at the University of Oslo and elsewhere, and co-authors of previous publication on the topics analyzed and discussed also in this book; their ideas have been vital for shaping the analysis and discussions in different chapters. He also wishes to sincerely thank his family for their patience and support throughout the process.

Staffan I. Lindberg wishes in particular to also recognize the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation's five-year Wallenberg Academy Fellowship (KAW 2013.0166) and five-year prolongation (KAW 2018.0144) that were essential in supporting the building of the V-Dem Institute and its team of assistant professors and postdocs. Everyone (23 past and present members) have made incredible contributions to our research collaborations, which in turn have made invaluable stepping-stones also towards the research presented in this volume. This is true not the least for Amanda Edgell who first participated in the V-Dem research program as a graduate student with Michael Bernhard as her committee chair, then joined the V-Dem Institute as a postdoctoral research fellow, and now is a much appreciated colleague and co-editor of this volume.

The larger V-Dem research agenda and therefore also this volume, was supported by a series of other grants not explicitly mentioned above. A full list of funders of various aspects (research, the infrastructure and data collection, outreach, collaborations, and other activities) can be found at the V-Dem website: <https://www.v-dem.net/en/about/funders/>. We are all very grateful for all the support that has helped build V-Dem, the advanced research infrastructure for data collection and curation, the research projects and programs, as well as the V-Dem Institute.

The Editors